United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/936,918	11/01/2001	Sinpei Nakata	KAS-157	3025
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	6 7590 01/30/2007 TTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C.			
1800 DIAGONAL ROAD ALEXANDER, LYLE SUITE 370			PER, LYLE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1743	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MONTHS 01/30/2007		01/30/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/936,918	NAKATA ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Lyle A. Alexander	1743
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
WHI - Exte afte - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Densions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. If SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror te, cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. imely filed In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 (October 2006.	
· —	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	is action is non-final.	
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowards closed in accordance with the practice under	•	
Disposit	tion of Claims		
5)	Claim(s) 1-3,6 and 8-12 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3,6 and 8-12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Applicat	ion Papers		,
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
·	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat	tion No
	application from the International Burea		od iii tiilo National Otage
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.
11120hms-	**(a)		
Attachmen 1) Notic	n(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	, (PTO-412)
2)	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Pate

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 09/936,918

Art Unit: 1743

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3,6 and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The second paragraph of claim 1 is not clear how the analysis parameters are transferred using a reagent on one of the automatic analyzing apparatus. It appears Applicants are attempting to state the type of reagent selected will be transferred to the service center and the appropriate calibration information for that reagent will be supplied to the apparatus.

The last paragraph of claim 1 is not clear what steps are intended by "... analysis parameters ... ". What types of analysis parameters are intended? Further "... calculates, based on the stored ..." is not clear what type of calculation steps are performed. The claims "standard value" is vague and indefinite as to what this value is and how it is calculated. The claimed "accuracy management" is also vague and indefinite as to what steps/calculations are performed. The claimed "deviation" calculation is also vague and indefinite how this calculation is made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 6 and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by JP 5-288756 or JP 4-128657.

In light of the 35 USC 112 second paragraph issues, the claimed invention is not fully understood. For the purposes of examination, the Office best understands the invention as a network of automated analyzer devices connected to a central server. When a specific analysis is selected for the specific device, this information is sent to the server. In return the server supplied calibration information/data to properly set the parameters in the device.

JP 5-288756 teaches a system to automatically transferring various kinds of parameters from an automatic analyzer. The automatic analyzer is provided with a sample identifying means 3, a reagent identifying means 10, and communication means 30, 31, 32. A sample identifying code 4 and a reagent identifying code 11 are automatically read by the sample identifying means and the reagent identifying means, and transmitted to an external computer 29 by the communication means. It is so designed that a parameter related to the analysis determined by the reagent and such parameters as the concentration, calibration curve, etc., of a standard sample to be used for calibration or the like are taken into the automatic analyzer from the external computer 29.

JP 4-128657 teaches an automated analyzer coupled to a network to monitor the condition as that at the time of analysis. The output is returned to any of the devices on the network after treating the parameters to determining if the analytical conditions and the results of analysis are valid. A plurality of analytical devices 6 and 10 are connected to a computer(1) via telecommunication lines (5). The conditions for operating the analytical devices are stored in a transmission parameter table(4) of the computer (1). At the start of analysis the data is sent to their respective analytical devices. The respective analytical devices store the analytical conditions being sent at the start of analysis in the parameter tables(8) and (12), and carry out analysis under these conditions. After completion of analysis. the results of analysis and the contents of the parameter table are sent and stored in the computer(1) automatically or by the instructions of an analyzer. The computer(1) stores the analytical results and parameters sent by the analytical devices 6 and 10 as a set. Thus, since the control of both the analytical results and the analytical conditions is simultaneously carried out, errors can be prevented and the reliability of data can be enhanced.

Claims 1-3 and 6-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Fritchie et al.(USP 6,022,746).

See the appropriate paragraph of the 5/31/05 Office action.

In light of the above 35 USC 112 second paragraph issues, the invention is best understood as a method of monitoring reagents and their controls. The Office maintains Fritchie et al perform all of these steps.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments filed 5/16/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's state, one having ordinary skill in the art would have easily understands the claim language and the 35 USC 112 second paragraph rejections should be vacated. The Office maintains the claimed must unambiguously describe a method that could be performed. The present claims use general terms that do not describe exact steps that could be followed by one having ordinary skill in the art.

Applicants' state page 1 of the specification describes the claimed terms "analysis parameters". The specification does use the phrase "analysis parameter" but fails to teach how they are calculated such that one having ordinary skill in the art would be able to calculate the "analysis parameters". Applicants make similar remarks for "accuracy management", "standard value" and "deviation calculation" referencing portions of the original specification for each term. As previously stated, the original specification does teach any algorithms defining these terms.

Applicants traverse the cited prior art rejections stating, in light of the remarks explaining why the 35 USC 112 second paragraph issues are improper, the claims now define over the art. The Office maintains all the rejections are proper. The claimed terms are not sufficiently defined to define over the art of record.

Applicants state on page 13 "... the purpose of the present invention is to gather analyzed results for the accuracy management ...". In the absence of clearly, exactly

and concretely defining the claimed analysis, it has been properly read on the cited prior art.

This is a continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 09/936,918. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1254. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743 Page 7